



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 978/2023

GYANI RAM

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Sonal Anand, Ms Surbhi Singh
and Mr Raja Choudhary, Advocates
for DSIIDC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

27.08.2024

1. None appears for the petitioner. On the previous date of hearing, that is, on 23.02.2024, this Court had passed the following order:-

“1. None appears for the petitioner.

2. It is seen that the petitioner has been appearing in this matter intermittently. It is also noted that on 23.02.2023, the learned counsel for the petitioner had sought an adjournment, for filing judgments in support of his contentions that the present petition is maintainable.

3. In the interest of justice, list on 27.08.2024.”

2. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the captioned petition. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed in default.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

SACHIN DATTA, J

AUGUST 27, 2024

M

Click here to check corrigendum, if any