



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 184/2024**

NEERAJ KUAMR ALIAS RAHUL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Omkar Sharma, Advocate.

versus

STATE GNCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP with
SI Bhoop Singh and ASI Anil Kumar,
PS: Dwarka North.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

ORDER

%

16.01.2024

CRL.M.A. 1403/2024

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 184/2024

1. An application under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 649/2023 under Sections 429/120B/34 IPC and Sections 4/12 of DACP Act registered at P.S. : Dwarka North, Delhi.
2. As per the case of the prosecution, investigation commenced on the complaint of one Rupesh Rana regarding illegal slaughtering of cows. He alleged that all the four accused, who were present at the time of slaughtering fled from the spot, but tempo No. DL1LX2892 was seized in which the flesh of the slaughtered animals was found. Also two slaughtered heads of cows/cattle were found at the spot.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner had been initially granted interim protection by learned ASJ, but finally the application for anticipatory bail was dismissed. It is further contented that petitioner is not involved in the incident in any manner.

4. On the other hand, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the application and submits that petitioner is involved in another FIR No. 728/2022 under Sections 428/429 IPC, Section 11 PCA Act and Section 12 of DACP Act registered at P.S.: Ranhola. It is further submitted that petitioner failed to cooperate in investigation and share his mobile number, in order to enable the prosecution to collect the CDR details. It is also pointed out that co-accused are absconding.

5. The facts and circumstances of the case reflect involvement of several people in illegal slaughtering of cows. The evidence regarding slaughtering is self-evident, since slaughtered heads of two cows were recovered from the spot. The accused is already involved in a similar kind of offence and his custodial interrogation appears to be necessary.

For the foregoing reasons, no grounds for anticipatory bail are made out.

Application is accordingly dismissed.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J.

JANUARY 16, 2024/R