



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 485/2024 & CM Appl.2149/2024**

DINESH KUMAR SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rajinder Kumar, Adv.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT DELHI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Divyam Nandrajog, Panel
Counsel, GNCTD with Mr. Mayank
Kamra, Adv. for R-1 & 4.
Ms. Kanika Agnihotri, Standing
Counsel with Ms. Devika Mohan,
ASC and Mr. Shaurya Rohit, Adv. for
NDMC.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER
12.01.2024

%

1. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition, *inter alia*, praying that respondent no. 3 (Town Vending Committee) be directed to conduct the survey of the petitioner in a time bound manner.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents submit that a survey will be held shortly and in terms of paragraph 1.1.12 of the Delhi Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending) Scheme, 2019, all persons claiming to be street vendors would be provided an opportunity to submit evidence in support of their claim that they are/were engaged in street vending. They submit that this would be irrespective of whether a street vendor has been found at the given site.

W.P.(C) 485/2024

page 1 of 2



3. In view of the above statement, the petitioner's grievance regarding holding of the survey and for his representations, if any, to be considered is addressed.
4. The petitioner also prays that he may not be disturbed from carrying on his trade from the site, that is, in front of Shop No. 22, Babu Market, Sarojini Nagar Market, Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi-110023.
5. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents state that there are large numbers of street vendors who are claiming that they are/were carrying on their trade in Sarojini Nagar Market. However, the said market cannot sustain the number of persons who have approached this Court. The learned counsel submit that the actual survey will determine whether the petitioner can be permitted to carry on his trade at the aforesaid site in the Sarojini Nagar Market.
6. In view of the above, we do not consider it apposite to pass any orders to the said effect.
7. The petition is disposed of.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JANUARY 12, 2024/r

W.P.(C) 485/2024

Click here to check corrigendum, if any
page 2 of 2