



\$~112

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 314/2024 & CRL.M.A. 1198/2024**

**RAJ KUMAR JINDAL & ORS.**

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ravin Rao, Mr. Akshit Saval and  
Mr. Ayan Sharma, Advocates  
petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 in person and  
petitioner No.1 through VC.

versus

**THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP for  
State with SI Rooma Yadav PS  
Paschim Vihar West, New Delhi.  
Respondent No.2 in person.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI**

**ORDER**

**12.01.2024**

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.157/2018 registered under Sections 380/354B/34 IPC at Police Station Mianwali Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the complainant states that the petitioner Nos.1 and 2 were employed for undertaking certain construction in the property and when the complainant visited her room in the said property, she found that her belongings were missing/stolen.
3. Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim in the present case. It is further submitted that the trial is at the stage of prosecution evidence.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that with intervention of family friends and well-wishers, the parties have amicably settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding dated 05.09.2023. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is left with no claim or grievance against the present petitioners.

5. The petitioners as well as respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./ SI Rooma Yadav PS Paschim Vihar West, New Delhi.

6. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned settlement out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- by each of the petitioners out of which Rs.5,000/- shall be paid to the respondent No.2 and Rs.5,000/- shall be deposited with the Delhi State Legal Services Authority ('DSLISA') within a period of two weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be utilized by the Delhi State Legal Services Authority for providing counselling/psychological support to POCSO victims requiring such assistance.

9. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the I.O. as well



as in Court.

10. Let a copy of the said order be communicated to Member Secretary, Delhi State Legal Services Authority for information.

11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

**MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J**

**JANUARY 12, 2024/rd**