



\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 311/2024 & CRL.M.A. 1195/2024 (Stay), 1194/2024
(Exemption)

VEER PAL SINGH & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Sandeep Tyagi, Mr. Ashish
Goyal and Mr. Amit Tyagi,
Advocates alongwith petitioners in
person.

versus

THE STATE OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for
the State.
SI Abhishek, PS Harsh Vihar.
Mr. Vinod Pant, Advocate for R-2
alongwith R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

12.01.2024

%

1. The present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of the FIR No. 476/2015, under Sections 308/341/504/506/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Harsh Vihar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Shurbhi Sharma Vats, learned ASJ, Shahdra District, Kardooma Courts, Delhi.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that parties have resolved their disputes *vide* compromise deed dated 28.09.2023. The copy of the aforesaid compromise deed dated 28.09.2023 is on record (Annexure P-3).



3. Petitioners and complainant/respondent no. 2 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer, SI Abhishek, PS Harsh Vihar.

4. The complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

5. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed, subject to cost.

6. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

7. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 476/2015, under Sections 308/341/504/506/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Harsh Vihar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Shurbhi Sharma Vats, learned ASJ, Shahdra District, Kardooma Courts, Delhi.

8. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 476/2015, under Sections 308/341/504/506/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Harsh Vihar and all other consequential proceedings emanating therefrom,



including the chargesheet pending before the Court of Ms. Shurbhi Sharma Vats, learned ASJ, Shahdra District, Kardooma Courts, Delhi, is hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited by each of the petitioners towards a consolidated amount of Rs. 25,000/- with the Delhi High Court Bar Association Employees Welfare Fund within a period of 10 days from today.

9. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the Investigating Officer as well as in Court.

10. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

11. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

JANUARY 12, 2024/sn