



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 156/2023 & CM APPL. 4696/2023**

ARUNA MEHTANI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate with
petitioner in person (Through VC)

versus

KULDEEP SINGH

.....Respondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

16.08.2024

%

1. Petitioner and her counsel have joined the proceedings through videoconferencing.
2. Petitioner is landlady and is aggrieved by order dated 19.12.2019 whereby appeal of the tenant was allowed and the deposit of rent for the period in question was also permitted.
3. However, at the very outset, petitioner and her counsel have stated that since petitioner has already got back the possession of the tenanted premises, she is no longer interested in pursuing the present petition any more.
4. Resultantly, the present petition is dismissed as not pressed.

MANOJ JAIN, J

AUGUST 16, 2024/dr