



2024:UHC:6990-DB

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p>WPSB No.601 of 2024 <u>Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.</u> <u>Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. S.S. Chauhan and Mr. Anil Kumar Bisht, Advocates for the petitioner.</p> <p>Mr. Puran Singh Bisht, Additional C.S.C. for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>Mr. Ajay Singh Bisht, Advocate for respondent no.3.</p> <p>Mr. S.S. Lingwal, Advocate for respondent nos.4, 5 & 6.</p> <p>2. Petitioner is serving as Professor (Soil Science) in All India Coordinated Research Project in Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology. According to him, he enjoys status of a 'Teacher', as defined under Section 2(k) of U.P. Krishi Evam Prodyogik Vishwavidyalaya Adhiniyam, 1958. According to him, he is being made to retire on 30.09.2024, at the age of 60 years, which is in teeth of policy decision taken by Government, on 20.09.2013, whereby age of superannuation of Teachers serving in the G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology was increased from 60 to 65 years.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for petitioner has referred to a judgment rendered by this Court in WPSB No.333 of 2023 (Dr. Anuradha Dutta Vs. State & others) and batch, decided by a common judgment dated 21.05.2024. He submits that identical issue was decided in those writ petitions, therefore, the act of University authorities, in retiring the petitioner at</p>



			<p>the age of 60 years, is unjust and improper.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner had made representation, highlighting the aforesaid aspect, however his representation has not been decided yet and is pending consideration before Chief Establishment Officer of the University. He submits that Chief Establishment Officer be directed to take decision on petitioner's representation at the earliest.</p> <p>5. Since petitioner has already made representation to Chief Establishment Officer on 10.09.2024, which is said to be pending consideration, therefore, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to Chief Establishment Officer to examine petitioner's claim and take decision on his representation, as per law, within one week from the date of production of certified copy of this order alongwith copy of representation.</p> <p>6. Let a certified copy of this order be issued today itself.</p> <p>(Pankaj Purohit, J.) (Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.) 24.09.2024 Arpan</p> <p>ARPAN JAISWAL</p>
--	--	--	---