HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition Misc. Single No. 2277 of 2022

14th December, 2024

Pankaj Kumar ……Petitioner

Versus

Nagar Palika Parishad, Jaspur …….Respondent

Presence:-
Mr. M.S. Bhandari, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. A.M. Saklani, Advocate for respondent no.1.
Mr. Bharat Tewari, Advocate for respondent no.2.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the order dated 09.06.2022, passed by learned Appellate Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate, Udham Singh Nagar in Nagar Palika Appeal No.502 of 2018, Smt. Beena Devi @ Meena Devi Vs. Executive Officer, Nagar Palika Parishad) under Section 318 of the Uttar Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1916, applicable to the State of Uttarakhand, whereby the order passed by respondent no.1-Nagar Palika Parishad dated 04.11.2011 was affirmed.

2. The petitioner moved an application in Nagar Palika for mutation of his name on death of his father (Shiv Kumar), but the said application moved by the petitioner was opposed by respondent no.2-Smt. Beena Devi @ Meena Devi (step mother of the petitioner).

3. Today both the parties are present before this National Lok Adalat, who are duly identified by their respective Advocates.

4. A compromise application has been filed by the parties before this Court and it is averred in the compromise application that the parties have entered into compromise and want to settle their dispute amicably. Respondent no.2-Smt. Beena Devi @ Meena Devi has paid a sum of ₹6,50,000/- by way of two cheques (Nos. 000003 and 000002) to the petitioner and in view of the amount so paid, the petitioner has relinquished his rights over the property in-question for which the

mutation application was filed.

5. Accordingly writ petition is disposed-off in terms of the compromise (annexure no.1) dated 14.12.2024. The compromise shall form part of this order.

(**Pankaj Purohit, J**.)
14.12.2024

SK