

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 754 of 2024

MahroofApplicant

Versus

State of UttarakhandRespondent

Present:-

Mr. Faizan, Advocate holding brief of Mr. Mohd. Safdar,
Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Virendra Singh Rawat, AGA for the State.

Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J. (Oral)

The applicant seeks anticipatory bail in
FIR/Case Crime No.547 of 2023 dated 24.09.2023, under
Sections 3/5/11 of The Uttarakhand Protection Of Cow
Progeny Act, 2007, Police Station Gangnagar, Roorkee,
District Haridwar.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and
perused the record.

3. It is argued that the co-accused having similar
role have already been granted anticipatory bail by this
Court.

4. Learned State counsel admits this fact. It
makes out the case for anticipatory bail.

5. Having considered, this Court is of the view
that this is a case, in which, the applicant should be

granted anticipatory bail. The instant anticipatory bail application deserves to be allowed.

6. The anticipatory bail application is allowed.

7. In the eventuality of arrest, the applicant shall be enlarged on bail on his furnishing a personal bond with two sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer ("the AO") concerned. In addition to it, the applicant shall also comply with the following conditions:-

- (i) The applicant shall not approach any witness in any manner, whatsoever.
- (ii) He shall cooperate with the investigation.
- (iii) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned court.
- (iv) The applicant shall deposit his passport with the AO. The passport may only be returned by the order of the court concerned. In case, if the applicant does not have passport, he shall give an undertaking to that effect to the AO.
- (v) The applicant shall also give an undertaking on (i), (ii) & (iii) above.

(Ravindra Maithani, J.)
14.08.2024