HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 539 of 2023

Abhishek Gaur ...Applicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand ....Respondent

Present:-
Mr. Mani Kumar, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. Pramod Tiwari, Brief Holder for the State.

Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J. (Oral)

Delay in filing counter affidavit is condoned. Counter affidavit is taken on record. Delay Condonation Application, IA No.1 of 2023, stands disposed of, accordingly.

2. Applicant seeks anticipatory bail in Case Crime No.106 of 2022, under Section 420 IPC, Police Station Rudrapur, District Udham Singh Nagar.

3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that in the instant case, ad interim anticipatory bail was granted to the applicant; during investigation, the applicant has cooperated during investigation; now, chargesheet has been filed.

5. Learned State Counsel admits these facts.

6. Having considered, this Court is of the view that this is a case, in which the applicant should be granted

anticipatory bail. The instant anticipatory bail application deserves to be allowed.

7. The anticipatory bail application is allowed.

8. In the eventuality of arrest, the applicant shall be enlarged on bail on his furnishing a personal bond with two sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. In addition to it, the applicant shall also comply with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall not approach any witness in any manner, whatsoever.

(ii) The applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court concerned.

(iii) The applicant shall deposit his passport with the court concerned. The passport may only be returned by the order of the court concerned. In case the applicant does not have passport, he shall give an undertaking to that effect to the court concerned.

(iv) The applicant shall also give an undertaking on (i), & (ii) above.

(Ravindra Maithani, J.)
17.12.2024

Ravi Bisht