

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

First Bail Application No.1341 of 2024

ShaluApplicant

Versus

State of UttarakhandRespondent

Present:-

Mr. Mohd. Safdar, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Virendra Singh Rawat, AGA with Ms. Rangoli Purohit,
Brief Holder for the State.

With

First Bail Application No.1345 of 2024

ShahrukhApplicant

Versus

State of UttarakhandRespondent

Present:-

Mr. Mohd. Safdar, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Virendra Singh Rawat, AGA with Ms. Rangoli Purohit,
Brief Holder for the State.

Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J. (Oral)

Since both these bail applications arise from one and the same FIR, they are being heard together and decided by this common order.

2. The applicants Shalu and Shahrukh are in judicial custody in FIR/Case Crime No.259 of 2024, dated 29.06.2024, under Sections 8/21 of the Narcotic Drugs

and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (“the Act”), Police Station Piran Kaliyar, District Haridwar. They have sought their release on bail.

3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

4. According to the FIR, on 29.06.2024, Smack in less than commercial quantity was allegedly recovered from the possession of the applicants.

5. According to the applicants, it is a case of non-compliance of the provisions of the Act; the allegedly recovered quantity is less than commercial; they have been falsely implicated in the case and the applicants have no criminal history.

6. Learned State counsel would submit that the bail rejection orders do not reveal that the applicants are the previous convicts.

7. Having considered, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicants deserve to be enlarged on bail.

8. The bail applications are allowed.

9. Let the applicants be released on bail, on their executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of the like amount, by each one of them, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

(Ravindra Maithani, J.)
16.07.2024

Sanjay