

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI, C.J.
AND
MR. RAKESH THAPLIYAL, J.

16TH APRIL, 2024

WRIT PETITION (MB) NO. 114 OF 2024

Dilshad Ahmad

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and others.Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner : Mr. S.K. Mandal, learned counsel.

Counsel for the respondents : Mr. C.S. Rawat, learned Chief
Standing Counsel with Mr. Gajendra
Tripathi, learned Brief Holder for the
State.

***Upon hearing the learned Counsel, the Court
made the following***

JUDGMENT : (per: Ms. Ritu Bahri, C.J.)

1. Learned Chief Standing Counsel for the State has informed that pursuant to the tender notice, six persons have already participated. The conditions of the tender notice are Annexure-1.

2. Grievance of the petitioner is that as per one of the conditions of the tender-notice (Annexure-1), the person, who submits an application for tender, should have experience of three years and turn-over of Rs. 3 crores, and the other condition is that he should produce a Trade License of one year.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that at Page-10 of the Paper-Book, it is stated that the minimum average annual turnover of the bidder should be 100 Lacs for one year. But as per the above, it shall be 3 crores for three years.

4. Keeping in view that applications have been received from six participants, who have fulfilled all these conditions, no case is made out to interfere with the tender process initiated by the respondents (Annexure-1).

5. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

RITU BAHRI, C.J.

RAKESH THAPLIYAL, J.

Dt: 16th April, 2024
Rathour