

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Anticipatory Bail Application No.294 of 2024

Indar Pal SinghApplicant

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and othersRespondents

Present:-

Mr. Harshpal Sekhon, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Vipul Painuli, Brief Holder for the State.

Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J. (Oral)

Applicant seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.549 of 2013 dated 23.10.2013, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 IPC, Police Station Rudrapur, District Udham Singh Nagar.

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

3. According to the FIR, by impersonating the informant her younger son got a sale-deed executed in favour of the applicant.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant in the instant matter the applicant is protected during investigation; the applicant is a buyer; he is not part of any conspiracy;

he had paid due consideration; charge-sheet has been filed against the applicant.

5. State to file objections.

6. List for hearing on 22.05.2024.

7. Having considered, as an *ad-interim* measure, till the next date of listing, in the eventuality of arrest, the applicant shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bond, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the following:-

(i) The applicant shall not approach in any manner, whatsoever, any of the witness in the case.

(ii) He shall not leave the country without prior permission of the concerned court.

(iii) He shall deposit his passport with the concerned court. The passport may only be returned by the order of the court concerned. In case, if the applicant does not have passport, he shall give an undertaking to that effect to the concerned court.

(iv) The applicant shall also give an undertaking
on (i) & (ii) above.

(Ravindra Maithani, J.)
10.04.2024

Sanjay