



CRWP-12667-2024

-2-

has not been decided till date and the petitioners would be satisfied if the representation be decided in a time bound manner.

3. After going through the contents of the representation dated 28.12.2024 (Annexure P-5), the same seems to be vague and half-baked, wherein no specific details have been mentioned.

4. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition be treated as representation and the same be ordered to be decided within a time bound manner by looking into the grievance of the petitioners.

5. Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

6. At this stage, Mr. Malkiat Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and has no objection if appropriate directions are given for deciding the representation submitted by the petitioners.

7. Therefore, without examining the question of legality and validity of their marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the grievance of the petitioners as set out in the petition and the same be treated as representation and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances in accordance with law.

31.12.2024
sonia

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No