



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.12576 of 2024
Date of Decision: 26.12.2024

Jagjiwan Singh & another
...Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab & others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, both the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondent No.4 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of the said respondent. It has also been mentioned in this petition that a representation (Annexure P-5) has already been moved to respondent No.2 in this regard.

- 2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.
- 3. Mr. Adhiraj Singh, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and he accepts the notice on their behalf.



4. Heard.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to the issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to look into and take appropriate action on the said representation of petitioner No.2, i.e. Annexure P-5.

6. Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

7. Keeping in view the intent of the fundamental right as enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India which ensures the protection of life and liberty to the citizens as well as the afore-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, is, hereby, directed to look into the above-referred representation (Annexure P-5) of petitioner No.2 and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

8. This petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 26, 2024
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>