



202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12535-2024

Date of Decision: 26.12.2024

Gopi

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH , J.(Oral)

1. On 23.12.2024, following order was passed by this Court:

“1. Counsel for the petitioner appears through Video Conferencing while sitting in car.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner’s mother Rama Rani, is a chronic heart patient, and there is no one else in the family to pay attention to her health during this period, as his father is an aged person. Therefore, parole already granted to him by the authority vide order dated 11.12.2024, is prayed to be extended for few more days, as same is expiring on 23.12.2024 i.e. today itself.

3. Notice of motion for 26.12.2024.

4. Counsel for the petitioner would hand-over a complete set of paper-book in the day course in the office of Advocate General, Punjab.



5. Let the facts pleaded by the counsel for the petitioner in the present petition be verified by the local police and then a report be submitted in the Court on the next date of hearing.

6. A copy of this order be also forwarded to the Jail Authorities at Central Jail, Hoshiarpur and the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, to do the needful.

7. Meanwhile, parole which is expiring today, would continue till the next date of hearing fixed before this Court.”

2. Mr. P.S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab, has filed short reply by way of an affidavit of Dev Dutt Sharma, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division City, District Hoshiarpur. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.

3. Learned State counsel refers to the letter dated 24.12.2024 (Annexure R-2/T, wherein the Doctor has recorded as under:

“Patient Rama Rani wife of Surinderpal 45/F attended is in OPD (wide UHID 52193) on 09.11.2024, 13.11.2024, 18.11.2024 and 30.11.2024 and was treated for hypertension/diabetes mellitus and clinical angina. She was advised for further cardiology opinion and woke up”

Thus, learned State counsel submits that the mother of the petitioner cannot be said to be a chronic heart patient.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner would surrender before the Jail Authorities today itself, therefore, he be allowed to withdraw the present petition.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn, as prayed for.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

December 26, 2024
rashmi

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |