



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12494-2024
Date of Decision:23.12.2024

TANNU AND ANR Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. AG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking protection of their lives and liberty as guaranteed by Article 21 of the Constitution of India.
2. The petitioner No.1-Tannu daughter of Sh. Rajbir is 18 years old and petitioner No.2-Tushar son of Sh. Suresh is 19 years old. They have not solemnized marriage, however, are staying together. They are apprehending that their family members may cause injury to them.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. AG, Haryana who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and waives service.
5. Learned State counsel submit that jurisdictional SHO has recorded statement of relatives of petitioners and formed an opinion that

there is no threat perception to petitioners. The family members of petitioners have no objection, if they continue to stay together.

6. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to jurisdictional SHO to act promptly, if any complaint with respect to threat to their life and liberty is received from the petitioners.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

23.12.2024
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No