

8

SAPANA RATHAUR AND ANOTHER ... PETITIONERS
V/S
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

Present: Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, D.A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana.
* * *

[1] The prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to respondents Nos. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents Nos. 4 to 7 who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

[4] On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, D.A.G.,

Haryana with Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana, who are present in the Court accept notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3.

[5] In support of petitioners being major, they have filed the photocopies of their Aadhar Cards, matriculation certificates and an affidavit in support of the averments made in the petition wherein petitioner No.1-Sapana Rathaur has alleged her date of birth as 10.10.2000 (as per matriculation certificate) and 10.11.2001 (as per Aadhar card) and petitioner No.2-Gurdeep Singh has alleged his date of birth as 11.02.1983. Apart from this, copy of the marriage certificate and the representation given to the respondents No. 2 and 3 have also been placed on record.

[6] In view of this, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2, Superintendent of Police to look into the representation dated 19.12.2024 qua threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that life and liberty of petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

[7] This order may not be construed as an opinion regarding validity of the marriage alleged to have been solemnized by the petitioners.

[8] Further, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to age of the petitioners or the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on proceedings to be initiated as per law.

[9] All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

26.12.2024

Janki

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)**JUDGE**