



CRWP-12531-2024

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12531-2024

Date of Decision: 26.12.2024

Anmolpreet Kaur and anr

. . . . Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH , J.(Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondents No.2 & 3, to provide protection of lives and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1–Anmolpreet Kaur, aged 20 years and petitioner No.2–Harpreet Singh aged 26 years, have solemnized marriage on 19.12.2024, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that it is the first marriage of both the petitioners. It has been submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 19.12.2024



(Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2–Commissioner of Police, District Jalandhar, Punjab wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.
4. On advance notice, Mr. P.S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab, appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (State).

Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2– Commissioner of Police, District Jalandhar, Punjab to look into the representation dated 19.12.2024 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

December 26, 2024
rashmi

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No