



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.34995 of 2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision :20.12.2024

Ajay Mehta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present : Mr. Vinod K. Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Mr. Karan Jindal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate with
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate and
Ms. Saanvi Singla, Advocate for HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

The petitioner (Ajay Mehta) has prayed for the following
substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of
mandamus directing the respondents to decide the representation
dated 12.01.2022 and 13.08.2024 (Annexure P-8 & P-9) and further to
give the physical possession of the plot in question”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the
institution of this petition the petitioner had even served the respondent
authorities with representations dated 12.01.2022 and 13.08.2024 (P-8 and P-
9), respectively, but to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal,
Advocate, is present in Court for the respondents. At the outset, he submits
that as the competent authority is already in seisin of the concerns/grievances



of the petitioner, it would rather be expedient if this petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent authorities to consider and pass necessary order on the representations (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall be heard. And a formal communication in this regard would be issued well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondents and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within eight weeks from today after hearing all the stakeholders.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

20.12.2024
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No