



129 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12446-2024
Date of decision: 20.12.2024

AANCHAL AND ANRPetitioners

Versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE **AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate and
Mr. Sahil Rathi, Advocate for
for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Gharoo, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP for U.T., Chandigarh.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J (Oral):

Petitioners Aanchal and Arjun Singh have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 BNSS, for directing official respondents No.1 to 3 to take action on representation dated 18.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) and for protecting life and liberty of petitioners, who are living together in a live-in relationship, from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 7.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, her date of birth is 15.07.2002. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 2, Annexure P-2, his date of birth is 01.01.2005. They are in live-in relationship. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, which is Annexure P-3. Petitioners are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 7, who are family members of petitioner No. 1, thus filed present



3. Notice of motion.
4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl.A.G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship against the wishes of family of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No.1 to 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 18.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) and protect life of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7 considering threat perception.
7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

20.12.2024
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No