



127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12439-2024

Date of Decision:- 20.12.2024

Apsana and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Sukhdev Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Apsana and Salmu have filed petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 10.

2. It is pointed out that both petitioners are in live-in relationship since 2011. Out of this relationship, they have three children. Ultimately, they got married on 09.12.2024. Earlier, petitioner No. 1 had taken customary divorce as per Muslim rites about 15 years ago. Now, private respondents No. 4 to 10 are interfering in their life, out of which respondent No. 4 is mother of petitioner No. 2 and respondent No. 5 is wife of petitioner No. 2.

3. Considering the aforesaid factual position, official respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioner No. 1 dated 11.12.2024 and take appropriate steps, if required, regarding protection of life.

4. Petition is accordingly, disposed of.

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

20.12.2024*lalit*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No