



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(130)

CWP No. 35125 of 2024
Date of Decision : 20.12.2024

Ritu Mehta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was on deputation at Mohali and was working and was sent back to Sangrur on the ground that the said post has been filled up by a regular employee whereas, after the transfer of the petitioner back to Sangrur, another contractual employee, namely, Amandeep Kaur has been adjusted in Mohali, which shows that the petitioner has only been sent back to Sangrur to adjust another favourite employee of the Department and that too contrary to the settled principle of law that contractual employee cannot replace another contractual employee.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.



Learned counsel for the respondents, on the instructions from Mr. Shashi Garg, Assistant Registrar (Legal) Department of School Education, Punjab, submits that the replacing of the petitioner by another temporary employee will be re-considered and appropriate order will be passed within a period of two weeks from today and in case, it is found feasible to post back the petitioner at SAS Nagar, Mohali, the same will be done.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to revive the petition in case the undertaking so given is not executed.

Ordered accordingly.

December 20, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No