



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-34800-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.12.2024

Kusum Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel would contend that the joint/common seniority has been prepared of the Steno-typist, Hindi and English, despite there being a communication from the Commission to the Department dated 09.08.2023, regarding which, a representation dated 06.03.2024, Annexure P-10, has been submitted by him, but the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioner, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.

4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 06.03.2024 within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not

address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to her and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to her interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

20.12.2024
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No