



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

116

CWP No.35039 of 2024
Date of decision: 20.12.2024

Jaswinder Pal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to pay interest @ 18% per month to the petitioner on the delayed payment made by the respondent on account of leave encashment and gratuity.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for claiming the aforesaid relief, the petitioner has submitted a representation dated 02.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the said representation is decided by passing a speaking order within a time bound frame.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

2024:PHHC:171386



5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation dated 02.11.2024 (Annexure P-2), submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
7. A copy of this order be sent to respondent No.3, for information and compliance.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

20.12.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No