



CWP-34826-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(112)

CWP-34826-2024

Date of Decision : December 20, 2024

Ravinder Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Devansh Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the pensionary benefits of the petitioner were delayed and keeping in view the judgment of the Full Bench of this Court in *A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab and others, 1997(3) SCT 468*, the petitioner is entitled for interest on the delayed release of the pensionary benefits, which is not being extended to the petitioner hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the delayed pensionary benefits to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 28.03.2022 (Annexure P-2) which is

**CWP-34826-2024****2**

still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 28.03.2022 (Annexure P-2) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

December 20, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No