



CWP-35071-2024 :1:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CWP-35071-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 20.12.2024

GURWINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

GURU NANAK DEV UNIVERSITY

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the claim of the petitioner for the grant of benefit of regularization might not be covered under the 2006 or 2011 Policy but as of now, he has already completed the required service, hence, respondents were bound to take into consideration the present situation, rather than the situation which was prevalent in the year 2006 or 2011 when the Regularization Policies were issued by the State of Punjab, which were adopted by the respondent-University.
2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-University.
4. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that the



CWP-35071-2024 :2:

petitioner only worked from the year 2005 till 2012 and thereafter, he had not worked.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner disputes the said fact and submits that the impugned order dated 07.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) is factually incorrect as the petitioner is still working with the respondent-University.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that in case, the petitioner files any supporting document which proves that he is even working as of now, appropriate re-consideration will be given to the claim of the petitioner for regularization by ignoring the impugned order dated 07.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) and appropriate fresh order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim at the hands of the petitioner.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-University, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner(s) to approach the respondent-University to prove that the petitioner is working even as of now so as to reconsider the impugned order dated 07.11.2024 (Annexure P-5)

8. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

20.12.2024
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No