



**164 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12367-2024

Date of Decision: 19.12.2024

Harsimaran and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Supriya Garg, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners by providing adequate security/police protection to the petitioners and for setting aside the order dated 14.11.2024.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No.1 is already married with respondent No.4 and is mother of two children, whereas, petitioner No.2 is a divorcee. She submits that petitioner No.1 was in relationship with petitioner No.2 prior to her marriage with respondent No.4. It is submitted that after having come to know about their relationship, family of in-laws of petitioner No.1, lodged frivolous complaint/FIR against both the petitioners and petitioner No.1 was badly beaten up. She further submits that both the petitioners are living together and they have serious threat to their life and liberty, for which they have filed representation dated 16.12.2024 (Annexure P-11) before respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of

the State.



CRWP-12367-2024

-2-

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga, to decide the representation (Annexure P-11) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.
6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

19.12.2024		(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila		JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes/No