



CRWP-12425-2024

-1-

**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12425-2024

Date of Decision: 20.12.2024

Tasleema and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Imtiyaz Hussain, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 21 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents. He submits that both the petitioners are already married and petitioner No.1 has five children, whereas, petitioner No.2 has four children. He further submits that as husband of petitioner No.1 used to beat her, she left her matrimonial home and started living with petitioner No.2 with her own free will. It is further submitted that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 21. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 16.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of

the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Superintendent of Police, Nuh, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

20.12.2024		(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila		JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	: Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	: Yes/No