



CRWP-12378-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-12378-2024

Date of Decision:- 20.12.2024

Nandini Bhagat and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Mohit Vashishat, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Nandini Bhagat and Prabhjot Singh have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing official respondents No. 2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 as they are in live-in relationship with their own sweet will.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 08.10.2005. On the other hand as per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 30.01.2005. Present petitioners are in live-in relationship. They also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, Amritsar and Station House Officer Islamabad, Amritsar dated 11.12.2024 which is Annexure P-3. Petitioners are apprehending threat from respondents No.4 to 6. Respondents No.4 & 5 are parents of petitioners No.1 and respondent No.6 is the one with whom parents of petitioner No.1 have fixed her engagement. Petitioner No.1 is being forced to marry with him.

**CRWP-12378-2024****-2-**

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering the nature of litigation no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 11.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) and considering threat perception if any, pass appropriate orders.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

20.12.2024*Sunil Devi*

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**