

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 19-12-2024

.....Petitioner

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)

Present: Mr. Inder Pal Singh Doabia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Shruti, AAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner had completed more than six months of service in the year before her retirement, the increment is admissible keeping in view the Instructions which have been issued by the Government of Punjab hence, the benefit of the said period should have been given to the petitioner while calculating the salary of the petitioner at the time of her retirement so as to compute the pensionary benefits admissible to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the respondents be directed to recalculate the pensionary benefits of the petitioner by granting him the benefit of increment for the service which she has rendered in the year, in which she retired.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner intends to make a fresh representation to the respondents giving all the material facts to support her claim and the respondents be directed to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, any representation is received at the hands of the petitioner, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh representation for redressal of her grievance.

Ordered accordingly.

19-12-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO