

CRWP-12400-2024

114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12400-2024
Decided on: 20.12.2024

Mangal Singh Sandhu ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.


ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect life and liberty from the hands of respondents No.4 to 8, petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India.

2. Notices are served upon the official respondents through the State's counsel. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, neither the response of official respondents nor the issuance of notices to the private respondents is required.

3. Petitioner's counsel submits that they had handed over representation to the concerned authority i.e. at Annexures P-2, P-3 & P-5, however he would be contended and satisfied if he is permitted to file a fresh representation and the same is decided by the concerned Officer in time bound manner. He further submits that he would not insist for decision on the above said old representations.

4. Given above, let the petitioner represent to the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police within 15 days from today. If the petitioner files a representation with in the above-mentioned time period of 15 days, then, the same shall be decided by the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police, within two months from that day. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to the representationists as well as his counsel, without any delay. In the representation,



CRWP-12400-2024

petitioner to mention the e-mail-id of his counsel so that the concerned officer could communicate them outcome.

6. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition or to take other legal remedies in accordance with the law.

7. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case.

8. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is disposed to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

20.12.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.