



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(128)

CWP No. 34300 of 2024
Date of Decision : 18.12.2024

Paramjit Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Punjabi University, Patiala and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jagtar Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Divyansh Vats, Advocate for
Mr. H.S. Bath, Advocate for the respondent-University.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that two different pay scales are being paid to the Library Assistants, which is not admissible as the employees working in the same Cadre are entitled for the grant of same pay scale which is being denied to the petitioners, hence, the petitioners are also entitled for the same pay scale as being granted to the other Library Assistants, who are working prior to the promotion of the petitioners to the said post.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 04.10.2024 (Annexure P-14) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a



direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Divyansh Vats, Advocate for Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-University and submits that in case, the representation dated 04.10.2024 (Annexure P-14) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

December 18, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No