

CRWP-12271-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

146

CRWP-12271-2024

Date of Decision:- 18.12.2024

Shashi Bala and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Sharma, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Shashi Bala and Sudhir have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 as they are in live-in relationship with their own sweet will.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1) and Senior Secondary Examination Certificate (Annexure P-2), her date of birth is 24.11.2006. On the other hand as per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-3) and Pan Card (Annexure P-4), his date of birth is 06.04.2004. Present petitioners are in live-in relationship. They also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani dated 12.12.2024 which is Annexure P-6. Petitioners are apprehending threat from respondents No.4 to 6 who are father and relatives of petitioner No.1.

3. Notice of motion.

CRWP-12271-2024

-2-

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering the nature of litigation no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6. It is duty of police to protect life of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 12.12.2024 (Annexure P-6) and considering threat perception if any, pass appropriate orders.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

18.12.2024

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE