



CRWP-12220-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

142

CRWP-12220-2024

Date of Decision:- 18.12.2024

Amandeep Kaur and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Amandeep Kaur and Simranjeet Singh have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to consider application dated 13.12.2024 and to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No3 & 4.

Learned counsel for petitioners pointed out that inadvertently, in the head note of petition, date of application has been mentioned as 13.12.2024 instead of 12.12.2024 and prayed that same may kindly be corrected.

In view of aforesaid fact, Registry is directed to carry out necessary correction in head note of petition.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 31.07.2003. On

**CRWP-12220-2024****-2-**

the other hand, as per Aadhar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 28.09.1998. They had performed marriage on 12.12.2024. Copy of marriage certificate is Annexure P-3 and marriage photograph is Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar dated 12.12.2024, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of parents of petitioner No.1. Respondents No.3 & 4 are parents of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of parents of petitioner No.1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No.3 & 4. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondent No. 2 is directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 12.12.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 3 & 4, considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation

CRWP-12220-2024

-3-

of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

18.12.2024

Sunil Devi

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No