



CWP-34809-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(110)

CWP-34809-2024

Date of Decision : December 20, 2024

Sandeep Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Virinder K. Shukla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the claim of the petitioner for regularization of his services has been declined by the respondents by passing a totally cryptic and non-speaking order without giving any reason as to how, the claim of the petitioner is not covered under the Instructions dated 04.03.1999 (Annexure P-1) hence, the said order is liable to be set aside.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Ms. Shruti, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

**CWP-34809-2024****2**

4. Learned State counsel submits that she has instructions to state that the order dated 10.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) be treated as withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order by giving due reasons for the grant of benefit of regularization of the services of the petitioner and fresh order will be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order dated 10.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) has been withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

December 20, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No