

CWP No. 34226 of 2024 and
CWP No. 34310 of 2024

2024:PHHC:169879



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(124)

CWP No. 34226 of 2024
Date of Decision : 18.12.2024

Gurjit Kaur @ Gurjeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Guru Nanak Dev University

...Respondent

(130)

CWP No. 34310 of 2024

Pardeep Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Guru Nanak Dev University

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in both cases.

Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate for the respondent-University
in both cases.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, two writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being decided as both these petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that though, the claim of the petitioner(s) for the grant of benefit of regularization might not be covered under the 2006 or 2011 Policy but as of now, they have already



completed the required service, hence, respondents were bound to take into consideration the present situation, rather than the situation which was prevalent in the year 2006 or 2011 when the Regularization Policies were issued by the State of Punjab, which were adopted by the respondent-University.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-University.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that the petitioner(s) only worked from the year 2005 till 2012 and thereafter, they had not worked.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) disputes the said fact and submits that the impugned order dated 04.11.2024 (Annexure P-7) is factually incorrect as the petitioner(s) are still working with the respondent-University.

7. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that in case, the petitioner(s) file any supporting document that they are even working as of now, appropriate re-consideration will be given to the claim of the petitioner(s) for regularization by ignoring the impugned order dated 04.11.2024 (Annexure P-7) and appropriate fresh order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim at the hands of the petitioner(s).

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-University, the present



petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner(s) to approach the respondent-University to prove that the petitioner(s) are working even as of now so as to reconsider the impugned order dated 04.11.2024 (Annexure P-7).

- 9. Ordered accordingly.
- 10. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

December 18, 2024 (HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
kanchan JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No