



CRM-M-63878-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CRM-M-63878-2024
Date of decision: 20th December, 2024

Ajay Pal
Versus
State of Punjab
...Petitioner
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. K.S.Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

The instant one is the second petition filed by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
185	07.10.2023	Lopoke, District Amritsar	21 of NDPS Act, 1985

2. Previously, he had filed a petition bearing CRM-M-45975-2024 (O&M) before this Court. Vide order dated 25.10.2024, he was directed to join investigation by surrendering before the Investigating /Arresting Officer within a period of one week. He failed to join the investigation. On 28.11.2024, his counsel sought withdrawal of the petition with liberty to file a fresh one which was dismissed as withdrawn.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that he
Parveen Sharma
2024.12.20 18:14
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document



could not join the investigation in compliance of order dated 25.10.2024 as he was out of State and was unable to arrange railway ticket for reaching Amritsar and comply with order passed by this Court. It is submitted that it was not due to any *malafide* that he could not comply with the previous order. As such, it is urged that he may be given opportunity ready to join investigation again.

3. Vide order dated 25.10.2024, opportunity had been given to the petitioner to join investigation within a period of one week and as and when required and if he was having genuine difficulty in joining the stipulated period, he could have sent written request to the Investigating Officer or move appropriate application before this Court but the present petition has been filed on 16.12.2024. No sufficient reason has been assigned by the petitioner for his not complying with the previous order dated 25.10.2024. As such, this court finds no justification for allowing this petition. Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

4. Since the main petition has been dismissed, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

20th December, 2024
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |