



CRWP-12371-2024

-1-

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12371-2024

Date of Decision: 20.12.2024

Maksood and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Ajay Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who are facing a grave threat to their personal life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 13 and further, not to harass and intrude into the privacy and peaceful life of the petitioners by raiding their house time and again.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents. He submits that both the petitioners are governed by the Mohammadan law. He submits that both the petitioners were earlier married, however, they could not pull on with their spouses and now both are living with each other in live-in relationship. It is further submitted that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 13. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 13.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Superintendent of Police, Palwal, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

20.12.2024			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No