



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP No. 34185 of 2024
Date of Decision : 18.12.2024

Chaman Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Avtar Singh Bhatti, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that by re-fixing the salary of the petitioner vide Annexure P-3 and that too giving any valid reason why the same is re-fixed, the respondents are trying to recover the excess amount, which is not permissible. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the similarly situated employee had already approached this Court by filing CWP No. 16538 of 2023 titled as ***Sarabjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 27.11.2024 and the recovery of the excess amount has already been set-aside, hence, the impugned order Annexure P-3 qua the recovery be also set-aside.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.



4. Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute that the question raised in the present petition has already been answered while passing order in *Sarabjit Singh’s case (supra)*.

5. Keeping in view the above, the present petition is also disposed of in *Sarabjit Singh’s case (supra)*.

December 18, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No