



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

140

CRWP-12193-2024

Date of decision: 18.12.2024

NAINA AND ANR.

....Petitioner

V/s

U.T. CHD AND ORS

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate, for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are apprehending threats at the hands of the private respondents who are averse to their live in relationship. Resultantly, a representation/complaint in this regard dated 15.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) has also been made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception but in vain. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior



Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh to look into their representation/complaint dated 15.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents through video conferencing.

5. Learned counsel for U.T., Chandigarh submits that although it has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are in live-in relationship, however, statement of petitioner No.1 was recorded earlier today by the police wherein she has stated that they have solemnized marriage on 15.12.2024 and they did not apprehend any threat at the hands of any person much less the private respondents. A copy of the said statement is placed on record.

6. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the instant petition.

7. Accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed as not pressed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 18, 2024

poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No