



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CWP-33967-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.12.2024

Arvind Kumar and Others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present petition is for quashing the impugned communication dated 08.08.2024, whereby the Government has taken the decision to assign the seniority to the directly recruited Deputy District Attorneys.

2. Learned counsel would contend that the petitioners are entitled to be retained in the seniority position, as per the tentative list circulated, Annexure P-4, in wake of the fact that the rejection of similar representations, however, still vide letter dated 08.08.2024, Annexure P-11, the position is being altered. In this regard, a representation dated 15.10.2024, Annexure P-12, has been submitted by them, but the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioners, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner in light of the judgments passed by this Court, Annexures P-8 and P-9.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer

made.

5. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 15.10.2024, taking note of the above referred judgments, within a period of 3 months. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioners shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

17.12.2024
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No