



109

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 12165 of 2024

Date of Decision: 18.12.2024

Kulwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Amarjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, D.A.G., Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Apprehending threats at the hands of respondents No.3 and 4 who happen to be SHO and ASI on the ground that they are relatives of one Gurpreet Singh @ Gaggi, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Article 226 of Constitution of India.

2. Notice served upon the official respondents No.1 and 2 through State counsel. State counsel submits that representation filed by the petitioner is pending before DySP. She further submits on instructions from ASI Mithu Ram that they have inquired about the relationship of respondents No.3 and 4 with said Gurpreet Singh @ Gaggi and it has transpired that respondents No.3 and 4 are not related to said Gurpreet Singh @ Gaggi.

3. State counsel submits that now the Investigator is different and neither respondent No.3 or respondent No.4 are the Investigators in the matter.

4. Given above, no further order is required to be passed and the present petition is disposed of with clarification that the concerned DySP himself/herself shall consider every plea of petitioner and decide his representation. All pending applications, if any also stands disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

18.12.2024

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.