



122

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12335-2024

Date of Decision:- 19.12.2024

Priyanka and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Azad Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Priyanka and Lalit Yadav have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6, who are family members of petitioner No. 1.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per copies of Marks Statement of Secondary School Examination and Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1 (Annexure P-1), her date of birth is 24.09.2003. On the other hand, as per copies of Marks Statement of Secondary School Examination and Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2), his date of birth is 25.02.2003. They had performed marriage on 02.12.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Arya Samaj Vaidik Vivah Sanskar Trust, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, Rewari (Haryana), which is Annexure P-4. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and

wishes of family members of petitioner No. 1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 6.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family members of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 14.12.2024 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

19.12.2024

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No