

2024:PHHC:171399



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CRWP-12224-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.12.2024

Satnam Singh and another **...Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **...Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners has filed a representation on 14.12.2024 (*Annexure P-6*) before respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib raising their grievances as raised in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits

2024:PHHC:171399



that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioners within a period of one month from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

6. At this stage, Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 4, who is mother of petitioner No. 2, and has requested that respondent No. 4 may be allowed to meet petitioner No. 2. As such, direction is issued to respondents No. 2 and 3 to facilitate the meeting of respondent No. 4 with petitioner No. 2 as and when the petitioners are called upon to the police station.

20.12.2024
Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>