



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-34494-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 19.12.2024**

Seema Dhingra

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Advocate, for
Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

...

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner (Seema Dhingra) has prayed for the following substantive relief:

**“Civil Writ Petition under article 226 of the
Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ in the
nature of Certiorari quashing the communication
send on Mobile phone (Annexure P-3) and reply
dated 01.08.2024 (Annexure P-5) wherein
application and legal notice for allotting the
alternative plot has been declined and further
issue a writ of Mandamus directing the
respondents to allot alternative plot to the
petitioner in lieu of Plot No.461 measuring 138.60
sq. Yard, Sector-7, Urban Estate, Panipat which
was re-allotted to the petitioner in view of the
latest policy issued by the respondents dated
06.08.2024 (Annexure P-8).**

AND



Further issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 18.10.2024 for allotting the alternative plot as per new policy issued by them on 06.08.2024.”

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, is present in Court on behalf of the respondents. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits that the petitioner’s claim was considered in accordance with the prevailing policy dated August 6, 2024 (P-8), and has since been recommended by the Estate Officer, Panipat, to the Competent Authority for allotment of an alternate plot. Further, he submits that formal orders as regards the allotment will be issued within six weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition, and the same be disposed of in terms of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, as also the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

19.12.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO