



CWP-33831-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

CWP-33831-2024

Date of Decision :16.12.2024

Dr. Harkamal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, grievance being raised by the petitioner is that vide revised NOC dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P/5), the rural service of the petitioner has been termed to be of 02 years, 11 months and 27 days i.e. 03 days less than the required tenure for which, fresh NOC has been given.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has actually 03 years of rural service which is clear from the fact that though the petitioner had applied for leave for a certain period but she did not avail the full leave and rejoined prior to the expiry of the leave which period has been ignored by the respondents and hence, actual facts have not been taken into account while issuing revised NOC dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P/5).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan,

CWP-33831-2024

-2-

DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- and submits that in case, the petitioner is of the view that her actual working days in the rural area has not been appreciated by the respondents and the petitioner has already filed representation dated 02.12.2024 (Annexure P/9), the same will be looked into and in case, it is found that the petitioner has merit in her claim, Annexure P/5 will be suitably amended and in case, the department is of the view that Annexure P/5 is correct, appropriate speaking order will be passed giving due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which order will be passed within a period of four days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case, the revised NOC Annexure P/5 is amended, the amended NOC will be sent to the Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot clarifying this aspect.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

As, the entitlement of the petitioner is to be done from the NOC granted by the respondent-State, in case, Annexure P/5 is amended by the respondent-State in any manner, Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot will take into account the said amended NOC for evaluating the claim of the petitioner.

December 16, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No