

2024.PHHC.169908-DB



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(108)

**CM-20291 to 20293-CWP-2024 in/and
RA-CW-536-2024 in CWP-21928-2024
Decided on : 18.12.2024**

Azad Singh & others

.....Appellant-review/petitioner(s)

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Haryana & others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I.MEHTA**

Present: Mr.Vikram Singh Punia, Mr.Amit Siwach, Mr.Yashasvi Rana,
Advocates for the applicant-review/petitioners.

Mr.Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J.(Oral)

1. The present review petition has been filed for reviewing the judgment dated 03.09.2024 which was passed in open Court, by a counsel who was not appearing for the applicants on an earlier occasion, by placing additional document(s) on record, which were never part of the original writ petition.

2. An attempt has been made to reargue the matter, which in our considered opinion, is not within the purview of review jurisdiction. The reasons given in the judgment dated 03.09.2024 are now sought to be demolished on the basis of the judgments which had never been relied upon on an earlier occasion by the arguing counsel. In such circumstances, in our considered opinion, counsel for the review-applicants faces a uphold task, in view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in **Tamil Nadu Electricity Board and another Vs. N. Raju Reddiar and another, 1997 (9) SCC 736.**

3. Resultantly, keeping in view the above, the present review petition is hereby dismissed along with the application for condoning the delay in filing the review petition. All pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

18.12.2024
sailesh

(MEENAKSHI I.MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No