


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

2024.PHHC:166901-DB



CWP-33630-2024
Date of decision: 13.12.2024

CHANDER PARKASH GAMBHIR ..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL


Present: Mr. Chetan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

* * * *

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is before this Court raising an environmental issue, which ordinarily should have been raised before the National Green Tribunal, however, the submission of learned counsel for petitioner is that despite the Haryana State Pollution Control Board (in short ‘Board’) vide letter dated 18.09.2024 having given a site inspection report stating that there is only a temporary office building made of Porta Cabin along with temporary container and permission of temporary site office has been granted and the said report has been replied to by the petitioner but no order has been passed by the Board.
2. In the considered opinion of this Court, the Board is not obliged to decide the issue since the inspection report does not disclose any environmental degradation.



3. If the petitioner is still aggrieved, he can always approach the National Green Tribunal, raising any environmental issue, if the same exists.
4. With the aforesaid liberty, the present petition stands disposed of.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

December 13th, 2024
Ayub

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>