



130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-33563 of 2024(O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.12.2024

M/s Aggarwal Traders

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Vineet Kumar Jakhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. By way of present writ petition, petitioner assails the order dated 29.04.2024 (P-2). The petitioner has liberty to file an appeal before the Appellate Authority against the said order, where it can take up all its pleas and place documents to support its submissions. The Appellate Authority would be in a better capacity to examine all the facts and also call for the record from the Department. We do not intend to invoke our jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India at this stage and the petitioner will be free to take up all its contentions in appeal before the Appellate Authority. If such an appeal is preferred within a period of 15 days from today, we expect that the appeal be decided expeditiously preferably within a period of four months without delving upon the issue of limitation.

2. With the aforementioned observations, the present writ petition
is dismissed with the liberty aforesaid.
3. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)

JUDGE

(SANJAY VASHISTH)

JUDGE

December 12, 2024

rashmi

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. <i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. <i>Whether reportable?</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |